

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/776,412	02/11/2004	Michael Mascia	16070-0005U	2412	
	590 07/10/2007 MCKENNA, LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
88 BLACK FAL	CON AVENUE		PATEL, T	PATEL, TAJASH D	
BOSTON, MA	02210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3765		
	•		MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• `	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/776,412	MASCIA, MICHAEL	MASCIA, MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tejash D. Patel	3765 .					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ap	oril 2007.						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,15-18,23 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,14 and 19-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
,	ammer. Note the attached	7 011100 7 1011011 01 101111 1 1 0 102.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/776,412

Art Unit: 3765

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I which pertains to claims 1-2, 4-12, 14 and 19-22 in the reply filed on April 6, 2007 is acknowledged. Claims 3, 15-18 and 23-24 pertaining have been non-elected and withdrawn by the Applicant in reply filed on 4/6/07.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 14, 19, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hollander (US 6,817,032). Hollander discloses a protective pad including a base layer made of clothing (12) having integral fasteners of hook and loop fastening on at least outward facing surface thereof, col. 4, lines 45-52 with a plurality of protective layers/hand protector (14) being smaller than the base layers that are attached thereto which corresponds to the nerves or bones as shown in figures 1 and 2:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/776,412

Art Unit: 3765

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 4-12, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hollander. With regard to claims 2, 4-12 and 22, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that the protective layers/hand protector are conventionally constructed of cover material having impact absorbing and rigid material therein as required for a particular application or end use thereof.

#### **Conclusion**

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,412

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

June 21, 2007

TEJASH PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER